UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,971	09/27/2005	Yoshio Seiki	2005_0316A	3184
	7590 05/29/200 [, LIND & PONACK,]	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			LAO, MARIALOUISA	
	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1621	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/525,971	SEIKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	M. Louisa Lao	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE = Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
,	,—				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicantly documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This communication vacates the Office Action mailed out to Applicants on 4/12/07.

Lack of Unity

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a separation system

Group II, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a reactor system.

Group III, claim(s) 8-19, drawn to a method of producing an aromatic carboxylic acid.

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the separation system comprising, *inter alia*, a distillation column into which a water and non-aqueous substance is supplied is well-known in the art (see US4023946); thus, there is no special technical feature that unites the inventions.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Applicants' agent, Mr. Nils E. Pedersen, Esq. to request an oral election to the above re-restriction requirement, but did not result to an election of an invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/525,971

Art Unit: 1621

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

Page 3

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

1. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M.Louisa Lao whose telephone number is 571-272-9930. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. PARSA PRIMARY EXAMINER

for JOHANN RICHTER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC1600 GAU 1621

`mll 05224007 MLouisa Lao Examiner Art Unit 1621